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Abstract: The goals of organic computing are difficult to achieve due to the heterogeneity of current software components. We believe that in the long term more advanced software architectures are required, in particular "LEGO-like" systems with a small number of interface types and maximum combinability of component instances. High combinability is the key for automated reasoning on and controlling of organizational structures of organic systems (e.g. self-describing systems), and automated reasoning is in turn the key for achieving organic properties such as self-configuration, self-optimizing, self-healing, and so on.

1 Introduction

Self-configuration is one of the main goals of organic computing, among others. First we will make clear that self-configuration is a special case of plain automatic configuration. When a system A is to be configured automatically, some identifiable system B must be responsible for that. Otherwise we have no means to deal with the configuration task in a formalized way. When B is a subsystem of A, we speak of self-configuration. Otherwise, we have a more general configuration system B for system A. In this view, self-configuration is nothing more than making sure that B is some subset or subpart of A. Thus we split the problem into two parts: (1) build a system B which can (re)configure system A, and (2) ensure that B is a (not necessarily true) subsystem of A. Then A can (re)configure itself, on behalf of its subsystem B.

In this position paper, we will first discuss property (1) with respect to the needs of organic computing. We will develop recursive means for handling configuration. Property (2) then becomes a special configuration of a solution for property (1).

Automation of configuration tasks means dealing with compositional structures and properties of components. A major issue of current component architectures is composability. Current OO design methods often lead to system designs with dozens or even hundreds of class interfaces, and in turn of component interface types. In our opinion, a large number of interface types is counter-productive for composability.

We believe that the success of the LEGO toys [LEG] can teach us another lesson: uniform interfaces (or at least a small number of at least partly compatible interfaces) are not just a nice feature of a component architecture, but fundamental for composability. In other

1 Brand names like LEGO and Fischertechnik are the property of their respective owners.
words, uniformity of interfaces is a must for achieving high composability. In the area of mechanics, this is intuitively clear, and the LEGO system is demonstrating the truth of it. Although there exist hundreds of types of LEGO bricks and very complex items like dolls, there is basically only one interface type having the shape of a tenon. Although the corresponding tapholes may have different shapes, their functionality of connecting to the same type of tenon is always the same. At a few very special places, other types of interfaces are also used, but a close look at them reveals that they are at least partly compatible with the standard interface.

One might argue against the LEGO system that functionality could be reduced by uniformity of interfaces. Other toy brick systems like Fischertechnik [ft] can create higher functionality, but they also use a very low number of basic interface types. So this means that the interfaces have to be carefully designed to be universal, at least "universal enough" for achieving the goals of the system. Fischertechnik addresses a different application area from LEGO.

We believe that the problem of functionality can be overcome in many places by the concept of universal genericity of interfaces [ST03b]. The LEGO system is a good example for compositional genericity [ST03b] based on a universally generic interface. Whenever the overall functionality is achieved by composition of standard bricks, the interface can be lean and simple, as long as it is universally generic.

In many presentations on component software, the puzzle has been used as a metaphor. However, the puzzle is nearly the opposite of a LEGO system: any two pieces which don’t belong together will not fit, i.e. have non-compatible shapes (at least in many cases). The pieces of a puzzle can be put together only in one single way (although in many different orders), forming one single end result - and thus the system is not compositorically generic. Splitting a software system into components according to the puzzle metaphor is not suitable for organic computing - it is just too inflexible to allow for (self-)configuration, and does not support context awareness and anticipatory behaviour on the structural level.

2 An Example LEGO-like Architecture

In [ST02, ST03a, ST03b], we have proposed a LEGO-like lightweight component architecture for (distributed) operating systems. It could be characterized as a "pipes and filters style" in the sense of software architecture [SG96]. Due to its universal genericity, it
should be also suitable for database systems [ST03c], and perhaps other application areas. We are currently working on an operating system prototype called ATHOMUX. The basic infrastructure and the filesystem part are already working. Preliminary performance measurements on its basic operations show results in the same order as roughly comparable basic Linux services, or even better.

The wires in the example (figure 1) may be viewed as "transportation channels" which logically transport instances of a memory abstraction called "nest". The boxes are called "bricks" and may be characterized as "transformers" between nest instances. Brick instances are depicted with inputs on their left and outputs on their right, similar to functional units in electrical engineering or automation control [IEC]. Wires are directionally drawn from left to right. By dynamic wiring, we implement anonymous connection-oriented directional communication (as opposed to OO, which employs connectionless undirected communication, often on known partners). Communication normally goes from right to left, in the opposite direction of logical transportation.

Our "pipe and filter style" differs from known implementations of that style in the operating system area, such as UIO [Ch87] or stackable filesystems [HP94], in a number of respects. First, it has no "consuming" semantics like pipes, where processing of data would "destroy" the old data and produce a new version instead. Rather, a brick adds to the ways we may look at the system, by providing a non-destructive new view on the data: both the old view and the new view provided by the transformation will exist in parallel; the "old" view may for example be used by parallel wiring to other "consumers" or "clients". Modifications carried out in one view will usually be immediately reflected in the other view; a transformation may thus be characterized as logically acting bidirectionally. Second, we use nests as a universal (but nevertheless rather simple) memory abstraction on all layers of the whole operating system, not limited to filesystems (in contrast, stackable filesystems are based on conventional filesystem abstractions such as inodes, vfs, directory (sub)trees, etc). We take advantage of the fact that bricks may be instantiated dynamically at runtime, resembling the dynamic nature of filesystem subtrees directly by recursive instantiation of dir_*-bricks. Third, generation and maintainance of instances is done by a recursive and self-describing methodology as sketched:

Brick instances of any type are created and maintained by a special brick type called control. In the above picture, a control instance does not create other brick instances.
directly, but rather creates an *image* which may be considered a kind of "process image" "containing" the instances and their wiring. In order to really "execute" the instances network, some *mmu* instance like *mmu_i386* has to follow it (possibly indirectly). In our ATHOMUX prototype, we implemented a *control_dummy_linux* without *image* output, running in an ordinary Linux process, but separating both levels logically. Our brick sourcecode is designed to run in other *control_* environments without alteration.

The command output of *control_* is a nest instance containing some *abstract representation* of the instances network, satisfying property (1). In our ATHOMUX prototype, we chose ASCII strings describing instances, inputs and outputs, and their wiring in a C-like syntax. This simplifies manipulations and transformations of virtual brick networks by searching and replacing via regular expressions. By "writing" such representation strings into the command channel depicted with dashed lines, (de)instantiation of bricks, dynamic inputs/outputs, and wires are performed. In general, an abstract representation of a (virtual) network of (virtual) instances can be both queried and manipulated via the dashed control wires, providing a controllable *description* on the system structure and the interrelations between instances. There may exist multiple descriptions in parallel. In the picture, different *strategy_*-instances are non-destructively *transforming* between descriptions or creating virtual descriptions which need not to exist in "reality":

The wiring of *strategy_*-instances may itself be controlled by another *control* instance connected with *strategy_strategy_*-instances. This may be continued recursively, forming a hierarchy of control levels. At a certain level the hierarchy can either terminate by a level which controls itself, or at a level where never any modifications are necessary (e.g. a conventional bootstrap mechanism). In order to form an organic system with self-control, we simply select the first alternative to obtain property (2). When a command output contains its own controlling and strategy bricks, we get *self-description* in the sense of organic computing. Other properties of organic systems can be achieved by usage of appropriate *strategy_* bricks in such a self-describing configuration.

As an example for *strategy_* brick types, a specific *strategy_transparency* may provide network transparency, by automatically inserting remote instances into the system wherever it is necessary to span several hosts on a computer network, and by providing a single virtual system image. The automatically inserted remote-instances may be hidden for users of *strategy_transparency*, so that they get the virtual impression that no network would exist at all, and as if everything would execute on a single "virtual computer". Further examples are *strategy_*-brick types for providing different operating system *personalities*, code morphing [cod] for creating hardware platform transparency, for automatic adaptation to varying workloads (load balancing) or to unpredictable faults (fault tolerance), for achieving recoverability (transactions), for centralized enforcement of security policies by automatically inserting check_*-instances at strategic places, emulation of AOP [K97] as pointed out in [ST03b], and much more.

In particular, the desired behaviour of organic systems such as context-awareness can be implemented by appropriate *strategy_*-bricks. A LEGO-like system allows easy composition of strategies for organic behaviour. In extension to coordination models [CH96], transformations at the strategy level can be carried out non-destructively by recursive and self-describing abstract representations of the system.
In summary, creating multiple descriptions based on separation between instances and their relations enables advanced functionality such as organic behaviour in a modular way.

3 Conclusions

We have argued that it is advantageous to build organic systems on LEGO-like lightweight component systems, which should be able to describe themselves recursively. Recursive self-description is a key to automated reasoning on the system at the structural level, and it is crucial for achieving organic properties such as self-configuration, self-healing, and many others. Our ATHOMUX prototype demonstrates that LEGO-like systems are feasible and may have a high potential for becoming a high-performant fundamental infrastructure for organic systems.
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